

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 RICHARD HERNANDEZ,

No. 2:17-CV-0593-CMK-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 P. HOLMAN,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
18 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

19 For cases such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal
20 venue statute requires that the action be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any
21 defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a
22 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part
23 of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any
24 defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. See
25 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the claim(s) arose in San Luis Obispo County, which is within the
26 boundaries of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Therefore,

1 the court finds that this action most appropriately proceeds in that district. In the interest of
2 justice, the court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
4 United States District Court for the Central District of California.

5
6 DATED: May 24, 2017

7 
8 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26